



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/075,348	02/14/2002		Jotham W. Coe	PC10030D	9919
23913	7590	10/20/2005		EXAMINER	
PFIZER IN			COLEMAN, BRENDA LIBBY		
150 EAST 4 5TH FLOO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, NY 10017-5612				1624	
•				DATE MAILED: 10/20/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/075,348	COE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brenda L. Coleman	1624
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status,		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Au</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,8,10,15-23 and 25-35 is/are pendidal 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,8,10,15-23 and 25-35 is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections.	vn from consideration. ed. election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example. 	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	

Application/Control Number: 10/075,348 Page 2

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-6, 8, 10, 15-23 and 25-35 are pending in the application.

This action is in response to applicants' amendment filed August 4, 2005. Claims 1 and 16 have been amended.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments filed August 4, 2005 have been fully considered with the following effect:

- 1. The applicant's amendments and arguments are sufficient to overcome the 35 USC § 112, first paragraph rejection of claims 1-6, 8, 10, 15-23 and 25-35, labeled paragraph 1) maintained in the last office action, which is hereby **withdrawn**.
- 2. With regards to the obviousness-type double patenting rejection of claims 1-6, 8, 10, 15-19, 22, 25-28 and 30-35, labeled paragraph 2) maintained in the last office action, the applicants' failed to comment on this rejection.

Claims 1-6, 8, 10, 15-19, 22, 25-28 and 30-35 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-34 of copending Application No. 10/348,381, for reasons of record and stated above.

3. With regards to the obviousness-type double patenting rejection of claims 1-6, 8, 10, 15-19, 22, 25-28 and 30-35, labeled paragraph 3) maintained in the last office action, the applicants' failed to comment on this rejection.

Art Unit: 1624

Claims 1-6, 8, 10, 15-19, 22, 25-28 and 30-35 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-34 of copending Application No. 10/348,399, for reasons of record and stated above.

- 4. The applicant's amendments and arguments are sufficient to overcome the 35 USC § 112, second paragraph rejection of claim 16, labeled paragraph 4) maintained in the last office action, which is hereby **withdrawn**.
- 5. The applicant's amendments and arguments are sufficient to overcome the 35 USC § 112, second paragraph rejection of claim 16, labeled paragraph 5) of the last office action, which is hereby **withdrawn**.

In view of the amendment dated August 4, 2005, the following new grounds of rejection apply:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claims 1-6, 8, 10, 15-23 and 25-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The amendment to

Art Unit: 1624

claim 1 where the muscarinic agonist is selected from the group consisting of 2-ethyl-8-methyl-2,8-diazaspiro-[4,5]-decan-I,3-dione hydrobromide, the amyloid aggregation inhibitor is selected from the group consisting of 6-amidino-2-nanhthyl p-guanidinobenzoate dimethanesulfonate and the estrogen-like therapeutic agent is selected from the group consisting of estrogen, which is not described in the specification with respect to the genus.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office action.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,348

Art Unit: 1624

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 5

examiner should be directed to Brenda L. Coleman whose telephone number is 571-

272-0665. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brenda L. Coleman

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

October 17, 2005

Brenda